### Review Letter

## Lateral mobility of proteins and lipids in the red cell membrane and the activation of adenylate cyclase by $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors

### Reiner Peters

Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysik, Kennedy-Allee 70, 6000 Frankfurt 70, FRG

Received 7 April 1988; revised version received 5 May 1988

Models of  $\beta$ -adrenergic signal transduction in red blood cell membranes frequently assume that at least one of the membrane-bound components is laterally mobile and distributes the hormonal signal in the membrane plane. However, direct measurements reveal that protein lateral mobility in the red cell membrane is severely restricted. Furthermore, the spectrin-actin skeleton compartmentalizes the cytoplasmic face of the red cell membrane into a regular array of small elementary areas. These considerations support models in which the  $\beta$ -adrenergic signal is spread in the membrane plane by a molecule which has binding sites on the membrane but diffuses in the aqueous compartment.

β-Adrenergic receptor; G-protein; Adenylate cyclase; Lateral mobility; Photobleaching; (Red blood cell)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The plasma membrane has been described as a thin film made up of a fluid lipid bilayer containing a diversity of bilayer-spanning and surfaceattached proteins [1]. In that description the bilayer has a moderate viscosity, similar to that of olive oil, so that both lipids and proteins are quite mobile in the plane of the membrane. The concept has given rise to a number of brilliant speculations on the role of lateral mobility in membranemediated functions. An early and influential example of such thinking relates to hormone receptors. In his 'general two-step fluidity hypothesis for the mechanism of modulation of adenylate cyclase activity of cell membranes by hormones' Cuatrecasas [2] suggested that both hormone receptor and adenylate cyclase move freely in the plane of the membrane and that the cyclase is activated by collision with hormone-receptor complexes. Ever since

Correspondence address: R. Peters, Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysik, Kennedy-Allee 70, 6000 Frankfurt 70, FRG

the mobile receptor hypothesis was formulated lateral mobility has played a pivotal role in models of membrane-mediated hormonal signal transduction. However, when direct measurements of lateral mobility in membranes became feasible [3] it was necessary to adopt a more differentiated picture of both membrane structure and lateral mobility [4,5]. The few direct measurements of  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor lateral mobility [6,7] suggested, for instance, that the receptor is immobile.

For these reasons we [8] were left for some time with a paradox: How can models of hormonal signal transduction implying long-range lateral diffusion be reconciled with a membrane organization severely restricting the lateral mobility of membrane proteins? Recent progress, shining new light on this question, has triggered off a vivid discussion. Guanidine-nucleotide-binding proteins (G-proteins) have been found to be involved in a diverse number of signal transduction processes including hormonal, neuronal, sensorial and immunological responses. For the case of the photoreceptor disc membrane the cyclic dissocia-

tion of the G-protein transducin into membrane-bound and water-soluble subunits has been fully elucidated [9]. For the  $\beta$ -adrenergic system Gilman and colleagues [10] have suggested a 'G-subunit dissociation model' which has many analogies to the photoreceptor case. Chabre [11] argued on basic thermodynamic principles that specific protein-protein interactions among  $\beta$ -adrenergic components have to take place on the membrane surface and not in the hydrophobic phase of the bilayer.

The present article contributes to the current discussion from the point of view of lateral mobility. The article focusses on the red blood cell (RBC). This restriction may appear somewhat arbitrary but is actually advantageous because: (i) models of hormonal signal transduction are largely based on RBC studies; (ii) the molecular architecture of the RBC membrane is well known; and (iii) the lateral mobility of lipids and proteins in the RBC membrane has been intensively studied. The RBC offers an additional, rather singular advantage: its membrane represents a limiting case among cellular membranes with regard to both architecture and mobility. As we will show in the course of the article the pronounced specialization of the RBC membrane enables one to draw particularly simple conclusions.

# 2. CURRENT MODELS OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN β-ADRENERGIC RECEPTORS AND ADENYLATE CYCLASE

At the level of the plasma membrane five distinct proteins are involved in the transduction of  $\beta$ -adrenergic signals: the stimulatory receptor, Rs; the stimulatory G-protein, Gs; the adenylate cyclase, AC; the inhibitory receptor, Ri; and the inhibitory G-protein, Gi. The following focusses on components of the stimulatory pathway which have been studied in greatest detail. Rs is a bilayerspanning glycoprotein which has been cloned and sequenced from a number of species and tissues [12]. Rs has a hormone binding site at the external and a G-binding site at the cytoplasmic membrane surface. Gs [13] is a hetero-trimer consisting of an  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subunit of 42–45, 35 and 8 kDa, respectively. The primary structure of these subunits, as determined by cDNA cloning, contains no sequences which could serve as a bilayer-spanning anchor. This classifies Gs as extrinsic although usually detergent is necessary to keep the protein in solution. However, upon binding of GTP the  $\alpha$ -subunit dissociates from the  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -complex and becomes soluble [14]. AC has been purified from heart [15] and other tissue. AC is probably a bilayer-spanning protein. Its catalytic site is located at the cytoplasmic surface of the membrane.

Interactions between Rs, Gs and AC can be summarized in general terms. Upon binding of hormone (H) Rs undergoes a conformational change which transmits the hormonal signal from the external to the cytoplasmic membrane surface. On the cytoplasmic membrane surface hormone-activated Rs interacts with Gs such that GDP is released from and GTP bound to  $Gs_{\alpha}$ , the  $\alpha$ -subunit of Gs.  $Gs_{\alpha}$  then interacts with AC transforming it into the active enzyme. Hydrolysis of the  $Gs_{\alpha}$ -bound GTP to GDP terminates activation of AC.  $Gs_{\alpha}$  is then free to enter a new cycle of GDP-GTP exchange and concomitant Rs-AC interactions.

Models of the interactions between H, Rs, Gs and AC have to account for two basic observations: (i) one common cellular pool of AC molecules can be activated by different hormones. In the turkey RBC, for instance, adenosine or catecholamine activates the same AC pool [16]; (ii) one Rs molecule can activate several AC molecules. For instance, if the Rs population of turkey RBCs is partially inactivated by an irreversible antagonist the maximum specific activity of AC is not reduced; 5% of the receptor population still activates the total AC pool [17]. For these and other reasons most of the published models assume that at least one of the membrane-bound components is laterally mobile so that the hormonal signal can be distributed over the entire membrane surface and be transmitted from one Rs to several ACs or from different Rs-species to the same ACs. Open questions concern the state of association between Rs, Gs, and AC and the identity of the laterally mobile signal carrier. The 'collision coupling mechanism' [17] assumes that Rs is mobile and that Gs and AC form a permanent complex. Alternatively, it has been proposed that Gs functions as a 'shuttle' between Rs and AC [18-20]. The quoted 'G-subunit dissociation model' [10]

Table 1

Lateral mobility of proteins and lipids in the red cell membrane

Band 3		$\begin{array}{c} D \\ (10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Other parameters	T (°C)	Method	Preparation
Human RBC						Band 3
Human RBC   FRAF   37   Human RBC   fm, FRAF   37   Human RBC   fmsion   30   Rm Na phosphate   0.82   36   Mn Na phosphate   0.83   37   Mn Na phosphate   0.84   37   38   Mn Na phosphate   0.85   37   38   Mn Na phosphate   0.87   37   38   Mn Na phosphate   0.80   72   38   38   38   38   38   38   38   3	03 nd 3	0.03		23	fm	Human RBC ghost
Human RBC   FRAF   30			fresh blood	0	fusion	Human RBC
Human RBC   fm   FRAF   37   Human RBC   fm   FRAF   13   13 mM Na phosphate   0.82   36 mM Na phosphate   0.85   37 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   5 mM Na phosphate   0.95   72   73   74   74   74   74   74   74   74	)6	0.06		23		
Human RBC   FRAF   30   Control	}	0.3				
Human RBC						
Human RBC   fusion   30   control   0.01   nd			aged blood			
Human RBC   fusion   30   control   0.1   nd						
Human RBC						
Human RBC   FRAF   30   Control   0.22   nd			_			
Human RBC	l nd 36	0.1		30	fusion	Human RBC
Human RBC						
12.5 mM ATP   0.88   12.5 mM 23-P <sub>2</sub> -glyc.   0.55   0.6 mM neomycin   0.0002   0.6 mM spermine   0.45 md spectrin deficient   25 md spectrin deficient   25 md spectrin deficient   0.43 md sphosphate   0.70 md spectrin deficient   0.82 md spectrin deficient   0.87 md spectrin deficient   0.80 md spe						
Mouse RBC ghost   fm   24   control   0.45   nd   spectrin deficient   25				30	FRAF	Human RBC
Mouse RBC ghost   fm   24   control   0.002   0.6 mM spermine   0.002   0.6 mM spermine   0.002   0.6 mM spermine   0.002   0.6 mM spermine   0.002   0.45   nd   0.45   nd   0.45   nd   spectrin deficient   25   0.43   11   26 mM Na phosphate   0.43   11   26 mM Na phosphate   0.82   36   18 mM Na phosphate   0.82   36   18 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37   13 mM Na phosphate   0.67   34   9 mM Na phosphate   0.50   72   0.38   88   Mag phosphate   0.50   0.38   88   Mag phosphate   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30   0.50   0.30						
Mouse RBC ghost   fm   24						
Mouse RBC ghost			-			
Human RBC ghost   fm   21   46 mM Na phosphate   0.43   11   11   26 mM Na phosphate   0.70   38   36   18 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37   13 mM Na phosphate   0.67   34   9 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   13 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   13 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   13 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   5 mM Na phosphate   0.50   72   10 mM TP1   0.94   44   10 mM TP1   0.94   42   90   40 mM TP1   0.94   43   90   100 mM TP1   0.94   100   100   100   100			•	2.4	c	M PRG I
Human RBC ghost	45 nd 33			24	1 m	Mouse RBC ghost
26 mM Na phosphate			•	21	£	Human DDC about
21 mM Na phosphate				21	ım	Human RBC gnost
18 mM Na phosphate   0.87   37   37   13 mM Na phosphate   0.67   34   9 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   5 mM Na phosphate   0.50   72   72   10   10   10   10   10   10   10   1						
13 mM Na phosphate   0.67   34   9 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   5 mM Na phosphate   0.95   51   5 mM Na phosphate   0.50   72   72   72   72   73   73   74   74   74   75   75   75   75   75						
Human RBC   fm, FRAF   37			• •			
Human RBC   fm, FRAF   37						
Human RBC   fm, FRAF   37   control   0.21-0.95   nd						
Human RBC ghost         fm         37         control 10 <sup>-6</sup> M TP1         0.21-0.95         nd           Human RBC         fusion         30         control         0.30         nd           Human RBC ghost         fm         22         control         0.01         nd           Human RBC ghost         fm         22         control         0.01         nd           20 ng trypsin         1.0         nd         20 ng trypsin         4.2         90           40 ng trypsin         4.8         90         100 ng trypsin         5.7         100           Lipid probes         Human RBC ghost         fm         7         9         nd           Human RBC ghost         fm         -3         control         9.5         nd           cholesterol depleted         6.0         23         cholesterol depleted         6.0         23           cholesterol depleted         23         23         cholesterol depleted         23         10           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5         control         140         nd           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5         control         12         50           45         190         90			3 mivi Na phosphate	27	fm EDAE	Human DDC
Human RBC   fusion   30   control   0.30   nd			aontrol			
Human RBC         fusion         30         control spectrin cross-linked         0.30         nd           Human RBC ghost         fm         22         control         0.01         nd           10 ng trypsin         1.0         nd         20 ng trypsin         4.2         90           40 ng trypsin         4.8         90         100 ng trypsin         5.7         100           Lipid probes         Human RBC ghost         fm         7         9         nd           Human RBC         fm         -3         control         9.5         nd           cholesterol depleted         6.0         cholesterol depleted         6.0         23           cholesterol depleted         23         cholesterol depleted         23           Mouse RBC ghost         fm         24         control         140         nd           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5         control         150           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5         control         12         50           45         190         90           45         190         90           25         0.33 μM cis-vaccinic				37	1111	Human KBC gnost
Human RBC ghost   fm   22   control   0.01   nd				30	fusion	Human RRC
Human RBC ghost       fm       22       control       0.01       nd         10 ng trypsin       1.0       nd         20 ng trypsin       4.2       90         40 ng trypsin       4.8       90         100 ng trypsin       5.7       100         Lipid probes         Human RBC ghost       fm       7       9       nd         40       75       75       nd         Human RBC       fm       -3       control       9.5       nd         cholesterol depleted       6.0       23       cholesterol depleted       23         Mouse RBC ghost       fm       24       control       140       nd         spectrin deficient       150       150       150         Turkey RBC ghost       fm       5       control       12       50         45       50       90       90       90         45       190       90       90         25       0.33 μM cis-vaccinic       190       90				30	Tusion	Human RDC
10 ng trypsin   1.0   nd   20 ng trypsin   4.2   90   40 ng trypsin   4.8   90   100 ng trypsin   5.7   100			•	22	fm	Human RBC ghost
20 ng trypsin   4.2   90						Haman RDC gnost
40 ng trypsin   4.8   90			- **			
Lipid probes Human RBC ghost fm 7 9 nd  40 75 Human RBC fm -3 control 9.5 nd cholesterol depleted 6.0 40 control 23 cholesterol depleted 23 Mouse RBC ghost fm 24 control 140 nd spectrin deficient 150  Turkey RBC ghost fm 5 control 12 50 25 50 90 45 190 90						
Lipid probes Human RBC ghost  fm  7  40  75  Human RBC  fm  -3  control  cholesterol depleted  6.0  40  control  23  cholesterol depleted  23  Mouse RBC ghost  fm  24  control  spectrin deficient  150  Turkey RBC ghost  fm  5  control  12  50  45  190  90  120  90						
Human RBC ghost       fm       7       9       nd         Human RBC       fm       -3       control       9.5       nd         Human RBC       fm       -3       control       9.5       nd         cholesterol depleted       6.0       23       cholesterol depleted       23         Mouse RBC ghost       fm       24       control       140       nd         spectrin deficient       150       150       150       150         Turkey RBC ghost       fm       5       control       12       50       90         45       5       0.33 μM cis-vaccinic       190       90	100					
Human RBC       fm       40 control cholesterol depleted cholesterol depleted cholesterol cholest						
Human RBC         fm         -3         control cholesterol depleted cholesterol cholesterol depleted cholesterol cholesterol depleted cholesterol depleted cholesterol depleted cholesterol cholesterol cholesterol depleted cholesterol cholesterol depleted cholesterol depleted cholesterol choles	nd 47	9			fm	Human RBC ghost
Cholesterol depleted   6.0   23   23   24   25   25   25   25   25   25   25						
Mouse RBC ghost   fm   24   control   23     24     Cholesterol depleted   23     24     Control   140   nd     150	5 nd 48	9.5	control	<b>– 3</b>	fm	Human RBC
Mouse RBC ghost         fm         24 control spectrin deficient         140 nd         nd           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5 control         12 50 90           45 25 50 33 μM cis-vaccinic         190 90	)		•			
Mouse RBC ghost         fm         24 control spectrin deficient         140 nd         nd           Turkey RBC ghost         fm         5 control         12 50 90           25 50 90         45 190 90         90           45 0.33 μM cis-vaccinic         90				40		
Turkey RBC ghost fm 5 control 12 50 25 50 90 45 190 90 25 0.33 μM cis-vaccinic	1					
Turkey RBC ghost fm 5 control 12 50 25 50 90 45 190 90 25 0.33 μM cis-vaccinic	nd 40			24	tm	Mouse RBC ghost
25 50 90 45 190 90 25 0.33 µM cis-vaccinic			-	_		m 1 nna 1
45 190 90 25 0.33 μM cis-vaccinic			control		tm	Turkey RBC ghost
25 0.33 μM cis-vaccinic						
,	90	190	0.22 Mart 11			
. 147.	22	70	•	25		
acid/mg protein 70 90			acia/mg protein	25	<b>c</b>	Human BBC
Human RBC fm 25 84 nd	nd .39			25	ım	
Mouse RBC 130 Frog RBC 53						

D, lateral diffusion coefficient; R, mobile fraction; RBC, red blood cell; fm, fluorescence microphotolysis; FRAF, fluorescence redistribution after fusion, a fusion method which quantitates redistribution by microfluorimetry; nd, not determined

assumes that Gs dissociates upon interaction with hormone-activated Rs into the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -subunits and that the  $\alpha$ -subunit alone interacts with AC.

### 3. LATERAL MOBILITY OF PROTEINS AND LIPIDS IN THE RBC MEMBRANE

Two methods have been used to determine the lateral mobility of proteins in the erythrocyte membrane, cell fusion [21,22] and fluorescence microphotolysis [23,24]. It was shown [25] that both methods yield identical results. Among RBC membrane proteins only the mobility of band 3 has been measured so far. Lipid mobility has been studied by insertion of various different fluorescent lipid analogs into the RBC membrane.

The results of mobility measurements, collected in table 1, lead to the following general conclusions: (i) the apparent lateral diffusion coefficient D of band 3 in the normal RBC membrane is exceptionally small, i.e. about  $0.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ at 30°C (this value may be compared with the diffusion coefficient of integral membrane proteins in a variety of other cells which is in the range of  $5 \times$  $10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  [4,5] or in reconstituted bilayers which is  $1-5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  [26]); (ii) band 3 mobility is strongly temperature dependent with D values of  $0.03-0.06 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> at 23°C and  $0.4 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> at 37°C, respectively; (iii) the mobile fraction R of band 3 in the RBC membrane is 60–90% (similar R values have been reported for many integral membrane proteins); (iv) lipid mobility, in sharp contrast to band 3 mobility, is quite large with D values of  $10-200 \times$ 10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> which is average for lipids in cell membranes.

## 4. THE STRUCTURE OF THE RBC MEMBRANE AND ITS RELATION TO LATERAL MOBILITY

The human RBC membrane consists of two layers which differ widely in function, dynamics and mechanical properties [27]. The same holds also for avian RBCs [28]. A fragile lipoprotein bilayer interfaces the cell with its environment. The bilayer contains, for instance, hormone receptors, immunologic determinants and transport proteins. The most abundant protein of the lipoprotein bilayer is the band 3 protein. It occurs at a frequen-

cy of about 10<sup>6</sup> molecules per RBC. The monomer consists of a single peptide chain of 100 kDa mass which traverses the lipid bilayer several times [29]. In addition to the bilayer spanning part the band 3 protein has a large 45-kDa fragment exposed at the cytoplasmic membrane surface. The membrane skeleton, a protein network, is attached to the bilayer on its cytoplasmic surface. It provides for mechanical properties and for long-range order in the membrane plane. It is composed of spectrin, actin, band 4.1 and several minor components. Spectrin occurs at a frequency of about  $2 \times 10^5$ copies per RBC and actin at about  $5 \times 10^5$  copies per RBC. Spectrin consists of 2 peptide chains, the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunits, having a mass of 240 and 225 kDa, respectively. The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunits form heterodimers which have the shape of highly flexible rods with a length of about 100 nm in the maximally extended configuration. Spectrin dimers combine to yield tetramers and higher oligomers. RBC actin occurs in the form of short filaments of about 15 monomers. Each actin filament can bind several spectrin tetramers and thus serves as nodal point in the spectrin-actin meshwork. Recently, this meshwork has been directly visualized by electron microscopy [30,31].

Membrane skeleton and lipoprotein bilayer are fused together by two specific linkage proteins: ankyrin (10<sup>5</sup> copies per RBC) and band 4.1 (2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> copies per RBC). Ankyrin is a 210 kDa protein with one binding site for the  $\beta$ -subunit of spectrin and one for the band 3 protein. The ankyrin binding site of the  $\beta$ -subunit resides in a specific domain of the molecule, close to the middle of the peptide chain. Band 4.1 does not bind to a specific segment of the  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -subunit of spectrin but seems to require both subunits for stable attachment. Band 4.1 has a binding site for the cytoplasmic 35-amino acid residue of glycophorin A. However, binding between glycophorin A and band 4.1 occurs only if glycophorin is associated with a specific phospholipid, namely triphosphoinositide (TPI) [32]. In the absence of TPI band 4.1 binds to band 3.

The very small lateral mobility of band 3 in the lipoprotein bilayer of normal RBCs is clearly determined by the membrane skeleton. In spectrin-deficient variants of mouse RBCs the diffusion coefficient was about 50-fold larger than in normal cells [33]. Reduction of ionic strength and eleva-

tion of temperature destabilize the membrane skeleton. Both measures lead to a strong increase in band 3 lateral mobility [34]. Spectrin can be degraded in a controlled and gradual manner by sealing small amounts of trypsin into RBC ghosts. Degradation of spectrin, as analysed by gel electrophoresis, is accompanied by a very large increase in the lateral mobility of band 3 [35]. A 72 kDa fragment of ankyrin, if added to permeable RBC ghosts in large excess, increases the lateral diffusion coefficient of the band 3 about two-fold [36]. TPI increases band 3 mobility to a comparable extent [37]. On the other hand, crosslinking of spectrin by diamide reduces band 3 mobility [38]. There is little if any influence of the membrane skeleton on lipid mobility. The D values of lipid probes in normal RBC membranes are quite high [39]. In spectrin-deficient mouse RBC membranes lipid probe diffusion is not larger than in normal cells [40].

An obvious parameter restricting lateral mobility of band 3 is the linkage of band 3 to spectrin via ankyrin. However, the stoichiometry of ankyrin and band 3 reveals that maximally about 10% of band 3 is directly attached to the membrane skeleton. Dimerization or dynamic oligomerization of band 3 could indirectly link a further fraction of band 3 to the skeleton. Anisotropy decay measurements [44] showed that the rotational mobility of band 3 in the RBC membrane, in contrast to lateral mobility, is quite large. Two fractions of band 3, a slowly and a fast rotating one, were observed. The slowly rotating fraction decreased and the fast rotating increased correspondingly when a cytoplasmic fragment of band 3 was split off by trypsin digestion. From these results it was concluded that up to 40% of band 3 are linked to the membrane skeleton. In measurements of lateral mobility an immobile band 3 fraction of comparable magnitude (10-40%) was observed (table 1). From these considerations the idea emerged [40,42] that the lateral mobility of band 3 is restricted by two different mechanisms. A fraction of band 3 (up to 40%) is immobilized by attachment to the membrane skeleton. The larger fraction of band 3 is trapped by means of the cytoplasmic fragment in the interstices of the skeleton. Within the free areas of the skeleton lateral and rotational diffusion of band 3 are restricted slightly. However, passage from one area to another is only possible when the barrier (a spectrin oligomer) is broken or when the contact points between skeleton and lipoprotein bilayer (ankyrin, band 4.1) are unloosened. The small but finite lateral mobility of band 3 indicates that breakage or detachment of the skeleton does occur in the normal RBC membrane, although at a relatively low frequency.

### 5. A HYPOTHESIS

Recent electron microscopic studies have demonstrated that the cytoplasmic surface of the RBC membrane is compartmentalized by the spectrin-actin membrane skeleton into a continuous array of predominantly triangular fields [30,31]. We will refer to these fields as elementary areas. Our basic assumption is that the topographic compartmentation of the RBC membrane is also a functional one, although only with respect to that category of membrane proteins exposing a substantial fragment at the cytoplasmic membrane surface. Rs, Gs and AC, all are members of that category. We assume that in the elementary areas these proteins can move in a comparatively unrestricted manner. The exchange between different areas, however, may be a relatively rare event. Thus, long-range diffusion should suffice, for instance, to distribute at random integral proteins over the membrane surface on the time scale of hours. Long-range diffusion would be too slow, however, to be effective on the time scale of seconds and minutes as typical for the activation of adenylate cyclase. For instance, in the framework of the collision coupling mechanism the Rs of turkey RBC membranes should have a minimum lateral diffusion coefficient of 0.4-9  $10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  at 25°C [43]. This value holds for the case in which every collision between Rs and AC is successful in activating AC. If the efficiency of AC activation is smaller than unity, which can be reasonably assumed, the diffusion coefficient has to be larger by an equivalent proportion. The lateral diffusion coefficient of band 3 is about 0.05  $\times$  10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> at 25°C (table 1), i.e. well below the lower limit set by the collision coupling mechanism for Rs. Within one elementary area the mobility of Rs and AC and hence their collision frequency would be quite large. Provided, however, that Rs and AC are randomly distributed

in the membrane plane an Rs and an AC molecule will hardly ever be found in the same elementary area. There are approximately 1000 molecules of each, Rs and AC, per RBC [47] which corresponds to a density of  $4/\mu m^2$ . The membrane skeleton, however, has about 125–500 elementary areas per  $\mu m^2$  [30]. It may be recalled that the abundance of G is much larger than that of Rs and AC so that many of the elementary areas may contain at least one G.

If our contention should be correct that neither Rs, Gs nor AC can serve as laterally mobile carrier of the  $\beta$ -adrenergic signal in the RBC membrane, then other possibilities have to be considered. These are: (i) a carrier which diffuses in the lipid bilayer, or (ii) a carrier which diffuses in the aqueous compartment. Although the association of Rs with glycolipids has been demonstrated [45] there is no strong evidence suggesting that a glycolipid or another lipid molecule carries the hormonal signal from Rs to AC. On the other hand, the 'G-subunit dissociation model' [10] suggests that  $Gs_{\alpha}$  is the laterally mobile signal carrier and recent experiments have shown that  $Gs_{\alpha}$  is indeed soluble in water [14]. Let us therefore briefly discuss what can be expected for a ligand which partitions between an aqueous compartment and a membrane carrying quasi-immobile binding sites. According to Elson and colleagues [23,46] and Crank et al. [50] two cases are to be discriminated depending on whether the relaxation time of ligand binding is much shorter or much longer, respectively, than the characteristic diffusion time. In the former case the mobility measurement will yield two classes, namely a mobile fraction characterized by  $D_{\rm w}$ , the diffusion coefficient in water, and an immobile fraction (diffusion coefficient on the membrane  $D_{\rm m}=0$ ). In the latter case a single effective diffusion coefficient D will be measured which is given by:

$$D = D_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot f_{\mathbf{w}} + D_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot f_{\mathbf{m}} \tag{1}$$

where  $f_w$  and  $f_m$  are the fractions of the ligand in the aqueous compartment and bound to the membrane, respectively  $(f_w + f_m = 1)$ .  $f_w$  is given by:

$$f_{\rm w} = 1/(1 + K \cdot B) \tag{2}$$

where K is the bimolecular association constant of ligand and binding site and B is the concentration of binding sites. Inserting some plausible values in-

Table 2

Partition and mobility of a water-soluble ligand for which immobile binding sites exist on a membrane

<i>K</i> (M <sup>-1</sup> )	f <sub>w</sub> (%)	$f_{m}$ $(\%)$	$D (10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$
$6 \times 10^8$	10	90	200
$5 \times 10^{9}$	1	99	20
$5 \times 10^{10}$	0.1	99.9	2

Calculated according to eqns 1 and 2 with: number of binding sites per RBC = 1000; volume of RBC = 100 fl; and  $D_{\rm w} = 2 \times 10^{-7} {\rm cm}^2 \cdot {\rm s}^{-1}$ . K, bimolecular association constant of ligand and binding site;  $f_{\rm w}$ , fraction of ligand in the aqueous compartment;  $f_{\rm m}$ , fraction of ligand bound to membrane; D, effective lateral diffusion coefficient of ligand

to eqns 1 and 2 yields the range of values given in table 2. The result suggests that, due to the particular conditions in the RBC, apparently contradictory properties such as a small ligand fraction in the aqueous compartment and a sufficiently large effective lateral diffusion coefficient are perfectly compatible. Therefore we complete the article by suggesting that the interaction of Gs with R on the one hand - i.e. exchange of GDP by GTP, dissociation of Gs<sub>GTP</sub> into Gs<sub> $\alpha$ GTP</sub> and G<sub> $\beta\gamma$ </sub>, association of  $Gs_{\alpha GDP}$  and  $G_{\beta \gamma}$  to  $Gs_{GDP}$  – and of  $Gs_{\alpha}$  with AC on the other – i.e. association of  $Gs_{\alpha GTP}$  with AC, hydrolysis of GTP, dissociation of  $Gs_{\alpha GDP}$  from AC – are localized in different elementary areas of the RBC membrane.  $Gs_{\alpha}$ quickly moves by diffusion in the aqueous phase and thus couples the different functional domains. The inhibitory pathway can be included into the scheme by recruiting additional elementary areas carrying Ri and Gi.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Singer, S.J. and Nicolson, G.L. (1972) Science 175, 720-731.
- [2] Cuatrecasas, P. (1974) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 43, 169-214.
- [3] Peters, R., Peters, J., Tews, K.H. and Bähr, W. (1974) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 367, 282-294.
- [4] Peters, R. (1981) Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 5, 733-760.
- [5] McCloskey, M.A. and Poo, M.-M. (1984) Int. Rev. Cytol. 87, 19-81.
- [6] Barkadjieva, A., Peters, R., Hekman, M., Hornig, M., Burgermeister, W. and Helmreich, E.J.M. (1980) in: Metabolic Interconversion of Enzymes (Holzer, H. ed.) pp.378-392, Springer, Heidelberg.

- [7] Henis, Y.I., Hekman, M., Elson, E.L. and Helmreich, E.J.M. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 2907-2911.
- [8] Peters, R. (1985) in: Structure and Properties of Cell Membranes (Benga, G. ed.) vol.1, pp.35-50, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- [9] Stryer, L. and Bourne, H.R. (1986) Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 2, 391-419.
- [10] Katada, T., Bokoch, G.M., Smigel, M.D., Ui, M. and Gilman, A.G. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 256, 3586-3595.
- [11] Chabre, M. (1987) Trends Biochem. Sci. 12, 213-215.
- [12] Kerlavage, A.R., Fraser, C.M., Chung, F.-Z. and Venter, J.C. (1986) Proteins 1, 287-301.
- [13] Gilman, A.G. (1987) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 56, 615-649.
- [14] Sternweis, P.C. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 631-632.
- [15] Pfeuffer, E., Drehev, R.-M., Metzger, H. and Pfeuffer, T. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 3086-3090.
- [16] Tolkovsky, A.M. and Levitzki, A. (1978) Biochemistry 17, 3811-3817.
- [17] Tolkovsky, A.M. and Levitzki, A. (1978) Biochemistry 17, 3795-3810.
- [18] Citri, Y. and Schramm, M. (1980) Nature 287, 297-300.
- [19] De Laen, A., Stadel, J.J. and Lefkowitz, A. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 7108-7117.
- [20] Lefkowitz, R.J., Stadel, J.M. and Caron, M.G. (1983) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 52, 159-186.
- [21] Fowler, V. and Branton, D. (1977) Nature 268, 23-26.
- [22] Schindler, M., Koppel, D. and Sheetz, M. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 1457-1461.
- [23] Petersen, N.O., Felder, S. and Elson, E.L. (1986) in: Handbook of Experimental Immunology (Herzenberg, L.A. et al. eds) 4th edn, vol.1, pp.24.1-24.23, Blackwell, Oxford.
- [24] Peters, R. (1986) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 864, 305-359.
- [25] Koppel, D. and Sheetz, M. (1981) Nature 293, 159-161.
- [26] Peters, R. and Cherry, R.J. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 4317-4321.
- [27] Branton, D., Cohen, C.M. and Tyler, J. (1981) Cell 24, 24-32.
- [28] Lazarides, E. (1984) in: Molecular Biology of the Cytoskeleton (Borisy, G.G. et al. eds) pp.131-150, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- [29] Kopito, R.R. and Lodish, H.F. (1985) Nature 316, 234-238.

- [30] Byers, T.J. and Branton, D. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 6153-6157.
- [31] Liu, S.-C., Derick, L.H. and Palek, J. (1987) J. Cell Biol. 104, 527-536.
- [32] Anderson, R.A. and Marchesi, V.T. (1985) Nature 318, 295-298.
- [33] Sheetz, M.P., Schindler, M. and Koppel, D.E. (1980) Nature 285, 510-512.
- [34] Golan, D.E. and Veatch, W. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 2537-2541.
- [35] Shields, M., La Celle, P., Waugh, R.E., Scholz, M., Peters, R. and Passow, H. (1987) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 905, 181–194.
- [36] Fowler, V. and Bennett, V. (1978) J. Supramolec. Struct. 8, 215–221.
- [37] Sheetz, M.P., Febbroriello, P. and Koppel, D. (1982) Nature 296, 91-93.
- [38] Smith, D.K. and Palek, J. (1982) Nature 297, 424-425.
- [39] Bloom, J.A. and Webb, W.W. (1983) Biophys. J. 42, 295–305.
- [40] Koppel, D.E., Sheetz, M.P. and Schindler, M. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 3576-3580.
- [41] Nigg, E.A. and Cherry, R.J. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 4702-4706.
- [42] Cherry, R.J. (1979) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 559, 289-327.
- [43] Hanski, E., Rimon, G. and Levitzki, A. (1979) Biochemistry 18, 846–853.
- [44] Levitzki, A., Sevilla, N., Atlas, D. and Steer, M.L. (1975) J. Mol. Biol. 91, 35-53.
- [45] Chorev, M.A., Feigenbaum, A., Keenan, A., Gilon, C. and Levitzki, A. (1985) Eur. J. Biochem. 146, 9-14.
- [46] Elson, E.L. and Reidler, J.A. (1979) J. Supramolec. Struct. 12, 481–489.
- [47] Kapitza, H.-G. and Sackmann, E. (1980) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 595, 56-64.
- [48] Thompson, N.L. and Axelrod, D. (1980) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 597, 155-165.
- [49] Henis, Y.L., Rimon, G. and Felder, S. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 1407-1411.
- [50] Crank, J., McFarlane, N.R., Newby, J.C., Paterson, G.D. and Pedley, J.B. (1981) Diffusion Processes in Environmental Systems, Macmillan, London.